arXiv:1412.1777v1 [hep-lat] 4 Dec 2014

Broken Valence Chiral Symmetry and Chiral Polarization of Dirac Spectrum in N_f=12 QCD at Small Quark Mass

Andrei Alexandru* and Ivan Horváth†

*George Washington University, Washington, DC, USA
†University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA [the speaker]

Abstract. The validity of recently proposed equivalence between valence spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking (vSChSB) and chiral polarization of low energy Dirac spectrum (ChP) in SU(3) gauge theory, is examined for the case of twelve mass–degenerate fundamental quark flavors. We find that the vSChSB–ChP correspondence holds for regularized systems studied. Moreover, our results suggest that vSChSB occurs in two qualitatively different circumstances: there is a quark mass m_c such that for $m > m_c$ the mode condensing Dirac spectrum exhibits standard monotonically increasing density, while for $m_{ch} < m < m_c$ the peak around zero separates from the bulk of the spectrum, with density showing a pronounced depletion at intermediate scales. Valence chiral symmetry restoration may occur at yet smaller masses $m < m_{ch}$, but this has not yet been seen by overlap valence probe, leaving the $m_{ch} = 0$ possibility open. The latter option could place massless N_f=12 theory outside of conformal window. Anomalous behavior of overlap Dirac spectrum for $m_{ch} < m < m_c$ is qualitatively similar to one observed previously in zero and few–flavor theories as an effect of thermal agitation.

Keywords: QCD vacuum, chiral symmetry breaking, chiral polarization, conformal window
PACS: 11.30.Rd, 11.15.Ha, 12.38.Aw, 12.60.Nz

INTRODUCTION

Vacuum effects play an important role in physics of strong interactions. Indeed, confinement and spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking (SChSB) are vital for current understanding of hadronic physics. However, attempts to clarify the origin and dynamics of these basic phenomena have not yet produced desirable results. Lattice QCD is expected to play a decisive role in achieving robust progress since the relevant information, stored in statistical ensembles of regularized systems, is generated as a byproduct of any numerical simulation. Extracting and interpreting this information in an unbiased model–independent way underlies the "bottom–up" strategy for studying QCD vacuum structure [1].

Focusing on chiral symmetry breaking, we follow the *valence* approach, wherein the gauge vacuum of arbitrarily massive theory is probed (by external massless quarks propagating on its backgrounds) for long–range correlations necessary to break valence chiral symmetry. This is reasonable [2, 3], since the symmetry interpretation is maintained in completely general case, and valence SChSB (vSChSB) turns into standard SChSB when at least two physical quarks are massless. The presence or absence of vSChSB distinguishes qualitatively different states of vacuum in non–Abelian gauge theories: the "real world" QCD is strongly believed to be broken in this sense, and the dynamics of other SU(3) theories is guaranteed to be significantly different if they happen to be symmetric.

Performing manipulations leading to standard Banks–Casher relation [4], one can easily inspect that the connection of SChSB to Dirac mode condensation naturally extends to the valence case. Indeed, valence chiral condensate appears if and only if mode condensate does, i.e. if spectral density of modes tends to non–zero value in the vicinity of the origin. While being only kinematic, i.e. true independently of underlying dynamical mechanisms, Banks–Casher equivalence provides for a useful tool to detect vSChSB. However, a different equivalence of similar scope has recently been suggested [2, 3], that is dynamical in the sense that its validity depends on specific realization of vSChSB in SU(3) gauge theories. This *vSChSB-ChP correspondence* proposes that chiral symmetry is broken if and only if the low end of Dirac spectrum exhibits chiral polarization (ChP) of the dynamical type described in Ref. [5].

Potential validity of the above connection offers valuable insight into chiral symmetry breaking phenomenon. Indeed, among other things, it formalizes and validates the intuitively appealing notion that vSChSB is the same effect as condensation of chirality [2]. It also places non–trivial constraints on possible explanations of SChSB which would have to be consistent with it to become viable. We emphasize that, in the context of SU(3) theories, vSChSB–ChP correspondence is expected to hold for arbitrary number and masses of fundamental flavors. Such broad association underlies the fact that SChSB mechanism should exhibit certain universality within the same class of interactions.

At this time, vSChSB–ChP correspondence has been confirmed to hold in N_f=0 theory both at zero and finite temperature [2, 3], in zero–temperature N_f=2+1 close to physical point [2], and also in zero–temperature N_f=12 down to fairly small quark masses [3]. In this presentation, we focus on the last case which is not only important in the context of vSChSB–ChP correspondence, but also in its own right. Indeed, massless N_f=12 theory remains controversial as a possible borderline case of dynamics within conformal window [6, 7, 8]. However, our results suggest a rather unexpected "phase structure" in the behavior of Dirac spectrum as the mass is lowered toward chiral limit. These findings may have non–trivial implications regarding t he possibility of low–energy conformality in this system.

DIRAC MODE CONDENSATION AND CHIRAL POLARIZATION

The results presented here derive from two cumulative spectral densities, namely

$$\sigma(\lambda,V) \equiv \frac{1}{V} \langle \sum_{0<\lambda_k<\lambda} 1 \rangle \qquad\qquad \sigma_{ch}(\lambda,V) \equiv \frac{1}{V} \langle \sum_{0<\lambda_k<\lambda} C_{A,k} \rangle \tag{1}$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$ and λ_k (real numbers) are imaginary parts of Dirac eigenvalues or, as frequently done in lattice studies with overlap Dirac operator, magnitudes of the eigenvalues weighted by the sign of imaginary part. Note that σ is the standard cumulative mode density of Dirac operator while σ_{ch} requires some explanation.

The object $C_{A,k}$ associated with every eigenmode ψ_k is its correlation coefficient of polarization [5]. It expresses the tendency for asymmetry (polarization) in local magnitudes of left–right components, relative to statistically independent pairing. To explain its construction, consider the process of selecting a sample from the former (correlated) and the latter (uncorrelated) distributions and comparing their polarizations. Statistics of such comparisons determines the probability $\Gamma_{A,k}$ that sample from correlated distribution is more polarized than sample from uncorrelated one. The correlation coefficient of polarization in mode ψ_k is then $C_{A,k} = 2\Gamma_{A,k} - 1$. Thus, $C_{A,k} > 0$ signifies enhancement of local chirality relative to statistical independence (dynamical chirality), while $C_{A,k} < 0$ implies its suppression (dynamical anti–chirality). An important virtue of this definition is that it does not depend on how we choose to quantify the polarization of samples (reparametrization invariance).

Low–energy Dirac spectrum is chirally polarized when low–lying Dirac modes tend to be positively correlated in the above sense. In such case, the cumulative chirality function $\sigma_{ch}(\lambda)$ starts at $\lambda = 0$ as monotonically increasing, growing until it reaches the chiral polarization scale at $\lambda = \Lambda_{ch}$ where anti–correlation sets in. This creates a positive "bump" in $\sigma_{ch}(\lambda)$ with maximum value Ω_{ch} achieved at Λ_{ch}. The quantity $\Omega \equiv \sigma(\Lambda_{ch})$ represents the total volume density of chirally polarized modes. Note that, in finite volume, the three non–negative characteristics Λ_{ch}, Ω_{ch} and Ω can only be positive simultaneously. They thus serve as *finite–volume order parameters* of chiral polarization and, via most generic form of vSChSB–ChP correspondence (*Conjecture 3* of Ref. [3]), as finite–volume order parameters of chiral symmetry breaking. It is frequently useful to eliminate λ from relations (1), and consider $\sigma_{ch} = \sigma_{ch}(\sigma)$. Utilizing this dependence is more efficient in situations involving depleted regions of spectrum, while ChP is still being signaled by a characteristic positive bump. Note that Ω and Ω_{ch} can be determined directly from $\sigma_{ch}(\sigma)$.

Spectral mode density $\rho(\lambda,V)$ is the right derivative of $\sigma(\lambda,V)$ with respect to λ. Coarse–graining in λ is necessary in practice and, in absence of suspicion for singularity, we use the symmetric discretization, i.e.

$$\rho(\lambda,\delta\lambda,V) \equiv \frac{\sigma(\lambda+\delta\lambda/2,V) - \sigma(\lambda-\delta\lambda/2,V)}{\delta\lambda} \qquad \lambda \geq \delta\lambda/2 \tag{2}$$

In terms of the above, Dirac mode condensation in arbitrary theory occurs when

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0} \lim_{\delta\lambda\to 0} \lim_{V\to\infty} \rho(\lambda,\delta\lambda,V) > 0 \tag{3}$$

i.e. when abundance of "infinitely infrared" modes scales the same way as total number of modes, namely with volume. Using the Banks–Casher equivalence, the behavior of $\rho(\lambda,V)$ in the vicinity of $\lambda = 0$ at sufficiently large volumes can be used to infer the presence or absence of vSChSB.

Note that exact zeromodes are not included in spectral sums of Eq. (1). Indeed, representing an infinite–volume quantity, chiral condensate will not be influenced by their omission. Moreover, zeromodes are chiral *a priori*, and their chirality is thus not an acceptable dynamical indicator of chirally polarized dynamics. One should thus keep in mind that vSChSB–ChP correspondence is concerned with the behavior of non–zero modes: "infinitely infrared" ones in case of vSChSB, and low–lying ones (band of width Λ_{ch}) in case of ChP.

LATTICE TECHNICALITIES

Being interested in chirality effects, it is prudent to define probing valence quarks via lattice Dirac operator with good chiral properties. Overlap Dirac operator [9] is thus optimal for this purpose, and was used in this study. Taking into account that many light flavors tend to make gauge fields rather rough in current simulations, the appropriately large value of ρ–parameter (1.55) was set in the overlap construction based on standard Wilson–Dirac operator. We used implicitly restarted Arnoldi method with deflation to calculate lowest 200 modes with non–negative imaginary parts for each configuration in ensembles described below.

To carry this study out, we obtained a subset of staggered fermion ensembles described in Ref. [10]. Apart from fundamental plaquette with coupling constant β_F, the gauge action used also involves a negative adjoint plaquette term coupled via β_A. Among other things, this arrangement helps avoid the appearance of phases generated by lattice artifacts. As studied by the authors of Ref. [10], lattice theories at couplings described in Table 1 are free of such spurious effects. Standard staggered fermion action with nHYP smearing was used in the dynamical quark sector. Configurations were identically smeared also in conjunction with our overlap valence quark calculations.

Using the quark–mass scans in Ref. [10], we selected the representative of the "heavy mass", at which spectral density of staggered operator was observed to have the standard low–flavor behavior with apparent mode condensation, and thus vSChSB. This is the ensemble S_1 of Table 1 . In the conformal scenario for massless N_f=12, it is expected that there is a non–zero mass m_{ch} such that for $m < m_{ch}$, valence chiral symmetry becomes restored. This was indeed observed in Ref. [10] at the "light mass" of $am = 0.0025$, where the behavior of staggered spectral density is consistent with absence of mode condensation. The corresponding ensembles are S_2 and S_3 of Table 1, representing the system at two different volumes.

RESULTS

The relevant results for "heavy" ensemble (S_1) are shown in Fig. 1 (left). Similarly to staggered spectrum of Ref. [10], we find the behavior in overlap spectral density typical of mode–condensing theory, with clear tendency for non–zero intercept and the standard overall shape. There is thus little doubt that we are dealing with chirally broken case. At the same time, the cumulative chirality $\sigma_{ch}(\sigma)$ exhibits the positive "bump" (bottom left), revealing that the theory is chirally polarized. Thus, vSChSB–ChP correspondence holds in this case.

Analogous results for the "light" ensemble at larger of the two volumes (S_2) are displayed in Fig. 1 (right). The surprise here is that instead of spectral density falling toward zero near origin, expected from staggered quark study [10], overlap spectrum clearly displays mode–condensing behavior. Thus, at least in this volume, the vacuum of the theory looks chirally symmetric when probed by staggered valence quarks, but it responds as clearly broken when overlap valence quarks are used. Nevertheless, with that being the case, vSChSB–ChP correspondence demands that overlap Dirac spectrum be chirally polarized. As one can see in Fig. 1 (bottom right), this is indeed the case. Note that the total polarization has dropped significantly relative to the "heavy" case but this is not surprising given the overall reduction in mode abundance at low energy.

An important part of the above finding is the highly non–standard overall shape of overlap spectral density in the light quark regime. The separation of the spectrum into two parts, namely the very infrared peak with spectral density falling away from zero and the monotonically increasing bulk receded to higher energies, is in stark contrast to typical chirally broken spectra such as that of S_1. We refer to the dynamics exhibiting globally non–monotonic behavior in spectral density of the above type, as well as the density itself, as *anomalous*. An intriguing point in this regard is that the spectral density of this kind was first observed some time ago in a very different physical context: in N_f=0 theory at finite temperature past the deconfinement temperature T_c [11].

TABLE 1. Ensembles of N_f=12 lattice QCD with description in the text. Note that λ^{av}_{min} denotes the average lowest magnitude of eigenvalue in a configuration, while λ_{min} is the overall minimum in a given ensemble. Similarly for the maximal eigenvalues computed.

Ensemble	Size	β_F	β_A/β_F	am	N_{conf}	$a\lambda^{av}_{min}$	$a\lambda_{min}$	$a\lambda^{av}_{max}$	$a\lambda_{max}$
S_1	$16^3 \times 32$	2.8	-0.25	0.0200	100	0.0098	0.0007	0.5190	0.5259
S_2	$32^3 \times 64$	2.8	-0.25	0.0025	30	0.0007	0.0001	0.2016	0.2035
S_3	$24^3 \times 48$	2.8	-0.25	0.0025	50	0.0193	0.0001	0.3046	0.3075



FIGURE 1. Comparison of spectral density and chiral polarization in ensembles S_1 ("heavy", left) and S_2 ("light", right).

The observed anomalous behavior at light mass could in principle result from infrared cutoff being too high, i.e. to be a finite volume effect. In the staggered case, the change from $24^3 \times 48$ lattice to $32^3 \times 64$ lattice (S_3 to S_2) produced little change in the behavior of spectral density [10]. Fig. 2 (top) shows this comparison in the overlap case. The most important aspect to check in this regard is whether there is a large reduction in the anomalous peak of near–zeromodes, which could suggest the possibility of an infrared artifact. However, as the plots reveal, this does not happen. In fact, the height of the peak increases in larger volume.

Note that in the lower part of Fig. 2 we show chiral polarization effects as scatter plots in λ–C_A plane. Here each dot corresponds to one eigenmode, and all eigenmodes computed for these ensembles are included. The chirally polarized infrared peak, the dramatic break in the spectrum at intermediate scales (eigenmode depletion), and the anti–polarized bulk are seen here clearly and from somewhat different perspective.

DISCUSSION

In this study of 12–flavor SU(3) theory we set out to check whether dynamical effects of many light flavors are consistent with the proposition that valence chiral symmetry breaking and chiral polarization are always locked together in the realm of SU(3) theories with fundamental quarks: the vSChSB–ChP correspondence. Our conclusion from this pilot study [3] is that this is indeed the case, thus strengthening the merits of the conjecture.

However, the results obtained for overlap Dirac spectra reveal considerably more about SU(3) dynamics, possibly with far–reaching consequences. Indeed, while looking for transition from broken to symmetric theory when crossing from "heavy" to "light", we rather found the transition from standard to anomalous behavior of spectral density. Even though vSChSB apparently remains in the light regime (at least for some band of light masses), a drastic transition nevertheless occurs: the vacuum qualitatively changes and the dynamics of propagating fermions does as well. Given



FIGURE 2. Volume comparison for spectral densities and scatter plots of chiral polarization with ensembles S_3 and S_2.

that, we predict the existence of mass $m_c > 0$ associated with this transition, and expect that, among other things, the nature of vSChSB qualitatively changes as the mass is lowered below m_c. [1]

While unlikely an infrared artifact as we checked, one can legitimately ask whether the anomalous behavior could be attributed to ultraviolet mutilation, albeit the other known types of lattice effects were avoided by the current choice of couplings. Although this will certainly be studied further, it is relevant in this regard that very similar anomalous phase appears in N_f=0 system subjected to thermal agitation, in some temperature band beyond T_c [11, 2, 3]. That occurrence has recently been studied in detail, producing a rather convincing evidence that the anomalous phase is neither an infrared (Ref. [3, 13]) nor an ultraviolet (Refs. [12, 13]) artifact, and is thus most likely physical. This makes it very reasonable to interpret our finding as a manifestation of the fact that many light quarks and thermal bath have very similar disordering effects on chirality, and that we have simply encountered another corner of the theory space where anomalous phase occurs.

Given the expectation that the anomalous phase $m_{ch} < m < m_c$ can indeed materialize in continuum N_f=12 system, it is of great interest to understand the nature of its dynamics. Note that in the N_f=0 thermal case, where both vSChSB and confinement have well–defined symmetry interpretation, the most basic characterization of its anomalous regime is that it is a chirally broken phase without confinement. While (de)confinement is not straightforward to infer on its own in the light 12–flavor case, it is natural to expect that, at the very least, the processes related to deconfinement take place as the quark mass is lowered below m_c in that system.

An intriguing question we are obviously left with is whether valence chiral symmetry ever gets restored in N_f=12. In other words, whether there is a second, yet lighter regime $0 < m < m_{ch} > 0$, where anomalous Dirac spectrum disappears and there is no mode condensation. In thermal N_f=0 case it is reasonable to adopt the view that there is

[1] Note that in Ref. [3], the mass m_c was denoted as m_{in}.

finite temperature $T_{ch} > T_c$ beyond which this happens. Indeed, the associated lack of near–zeromode accumulation has been confirmed with overlap operator at sufficiently large temperatures [2, 3], although careful volume studies may prove difficult in that regime. However, such infrared depletion has not been seen yet in the 12–flavor case, not even on small volumes. Thus, the possibility of vanishing m_c, with massless N_f=12 ending up chirally broken, should certainly be viewed as open.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are indebted to Anna Hasenfratz and David Schaich for sharing their staggered fermion ensembles. Ivan Horváth acknowledges the support from the Department of Anesthesiology at the University of Kentucky. Andrei Alexandru is supported by U.S. National Science Foundation under CAREER grant PHY-1151648.

REFERENCES

1. I. Horváth, arXiv:hep-lat/0605008.
2. A. Alexandru, I. Horváth, *Phys. Lett.* **B722**, 160 (2013). arXiv:1210.7849.
3. A. Alexandru, I. Horváth, arXiv:1405.2968. To appear in *Nucl. Phys.* **B**.
4. T. Banks and A. Casher, *Nucl. Phys.* **B169**, 125 (1980).
5. A. Alexandru, T. Draper, I. Horváth, T. Streuer, *Annals Phys.* **326**, 1941 (2011), arXiv:1009.4451.
6. E. Neil, *PoS* **Lattice 2011**, 009 (2011), arXiv:1205.4706.
7. J. Giedt, *PoS* **Lattice 2012**, 006 (2012).
8. J. Kuti, *PoS* **Lattice 2013**, 004 (2013).
9. H. Neuberger, *Phys. Lett.* **B417** (1998) 141; *Phys. Lett.* **B427** (1998) 353.
10. A. Hasenfratz, A. Cheng, G. Petropoulos, D. Schaich, *PoS* **Lattice 2012**, 034 (2012), arXiv:1207.7162.
11. R.G. Edwards, U.M. Heller, J.E. Kiskis, R. Narayanan, *Phys. Rev.* **D61**, 074504 (2000), arxiv:hep-lat/9910041.
12. A. Alexandru, I. Horváth, to appear in *PoS* **Lattice 2014**, arXiv:1409.7094.
13. A. Alexandru, I. Horváth, in preparation.